# **Energy Transition Mechanism**

Financial analysis

Prepared by RASF



RESTRICTED

# Approach

# ETM analysis

### Target asset

- Using the example of a 2,000 MW CFPP IPP
- Assuming an initial 25 year PPA
  - Plant has been operating for 15 years, hence leaving a residual PPA life of 10 years
  - Assume a post-PPA residual life of 15 years (wholesale or new PPA)

### ETM role

- ETM buys the plant assuming a remaining residual life of 25 years (based on technical state of the plant)
- ETM dismantles the plant at the end of the PPA, instead of running it till the end of its useful life
- ETM therefore saves 15 years worth of CO2 emissions

### Valuation

- Day 1 acquisition by ETM is at market price
- For illustration purpose, we have considered USD1.8m/MW

### Potential ways for ETM funders to finance the acceleration of retirement:

- Scenario 1: No revenues after the plant is dismantled
  - Equity bears the loss of foregoing 15 years of free cash flows
  - ETM needs upfront grant to maintain equity return at market levels
- Scenario 2: Carbon credit replacement
  - Carbon credit scheme provides a replacement cashflow from year 11-25
  - Equity return is maintained at market level

# **Snapshot of the target's revenues**

#### **Key Assumptions**

Gross capacity	2,000 MW
Existing PPA	10 years remaining
Useful life	25 years remaining (PPA+15 yrs residual life)
Revenues under the PPA	<ul> <li>4 components:</li> <li>Capacity charge – availability based</li> <li>Energy charge – passed through</li> <li>Fixed O&amp;M charge – passed through</li> <li>Variable O&amp;M charge – passed through</li> </ul>
Operating costs	Fully passed through under the PPA
Outstanding debt	To be refinanced

#### Cash flows Breakdown



# Power Plant retirement 10 years post acquisition

Scenario 1: No cash flows replacement



#### Features

- ✓ Grants can be injected on Day 1
- Commercial debt raised from the PPA cashflows
- No concessional debt required
- ✓ Public perception of Day 1 subsidies to buy CFPP

- Lack of control over actual retirement
- Availability of grant / finite by nature
- Sustainability of such model to be developed
- Increasing grants required for additional acquisitions

## Power Plant retirement 10 years post acquisition

Scenario 2: Carbon credit cash flows starting post PPA term



#### Features

- ✓ Public perceptions likely more supportive
- No grant required / all funding at market returns
- Potential to replicate / build a portfolio
- ✓ Attractive investment proposition / new green asset class for investors
- ✓ EU ETS extension (market price) vs. fixed separate contracted tariff
- Equity incentive if carbon credit is subject to retirement of the plant
- Debt can be optimised if carbon credit payment is unconditional, irrevocable and ring-fenced – 100% re-leveraging potential post retirement